THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P. 9322 OF 2007

Dated 30-04-2007

Between:

Bheemandham Koti Reddy

…Petitioner

And

The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority, Guntur

…Respondent

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P. 9322 OF 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner applied for stage carriage permit, on a town service route in Guntur town. The Regional Transport Authority, Guntur, dismissed the application. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner filed Appeal No.462 of 1996, before the State Transport Appellate Tribunal. The appeal was allowed, way back on 08-12-1999, granting four months time to the petitioner, to produce the records of the vehicle, to be operated on the route. The petitioner states that he had no knowledge about the order, and only in the recent past, he approached his counsel about the result of the appeal, and he was informed of the same. A copy of the order has been obtained and sent to the petitioner. It is also pleaded that the petitioner had fallen ill, and thereby, could not obtain the permit, in pursuance of the order passed by the Appellate Tribunal. He seeks extension of time for production of record.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Transport.

It is more than seven years back, that the appeal preferred by the petitioner was allowed. For one reason or the other, the petitioner could not produce the valid records and obtain permit so far. By any standard, seven years is a very long time. It is not known, whether the permit is still valid. Be that as it may, if the grant of permit, at this stage, is not going to be detrimental to any other persons, and if the permit is

still valid, the petitioner can be extended the facility of submitting the valid records, within a reasonable time.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing that the petitioner may submit the valid records, in relation to the permit, directed to be granted, vide the orders dated 08-12-1999, passed by the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, in Appeal No.462 of 1996, and the respondents shall consider the same, for taking necessary steps, in case, the permit is otherwise valid and alive.

There shall be no order as to costs.

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.

Dt.30-04-2007.

KO